

John R. Martin, Pres.; Eugene Skoropowski, V. Pres.; Robert Glover, Sec.; Joseph Horning, Jr., Treas.; Ross Capon, Exec. Dir.; Barry Williams, Asst. Dir.; Jane Colgrove, Membership Dir.; Harriet Parcells, Transp. Assoc.

National Association of Railroad Passengers News (ISSN 0739-3490), is published monthly except November by NARP, 236 Massachusetts Ave., NE, Suite 603, Washington, DC 20002. 202/546-1550. Membership dues are \$15/year (\$7.50 under 21 or over 65) of which \$4 is for a subscription to NARP News. Second-class postage paid at Washington, DC.

Postmaster: send address changes to National Association of Railroad Passengers, 236 Massachusetts Ave., NE, Suite 603, Washington, DC 20002.

(This has news through December 2. No. 10 was mailed October 12.)

Record-Setting Year! New Texas Train Begins! Amtrak Sets All-T

Amtrak achieved a 17-year goal on Nov. 15 when it began

direct train service between Dallas and Houston, the nation's 8th and 10th largest metropolitan areas, respectively.

The new train, a section of Amtrak's Chicago-San Antonio "Eagle," is the first passenger train to ply Southern Pacific Railroad's 265-mile Dallas-Houston route in 30 years! (The last passenger train on SP's route, the "Owl," expired in June 1958.) It is the first direct Dallas-Houston passenger train over any route since the discontinuance of Burlington's "Sam Houston Zephyr" in 1966. (Amtrak ran an indirect "Inter-American" via Ft. Worth 1979-81).

In conjunction with the start-up of the new train, Amtrak renamed the "Eagle" the "Texas Eagle" at NARP's suggestion, in honor of the famous Texas train of old.

Amtrak had wanted to offer direct Dallas-Houston service from the beginning in 1971, but was stymied by poor track conditions and hostile railroads. The original plan was to use a combination of Missouri-Kansas-Texas and Burlington lines via Waxahachie, but track conditions were unacceptable. Conditions on parallel SP were likewise unsuita-



Amtrak Sets All-Time Patronage Record in '88

Fiscal Year 1988 was Amtrak's best year ever in nearly every respect, with ridership, revenue, passenger-miles, and cost-recovery reaching all-time record highs. The traffic records were set even though Amtrak's car fleet and route structure were smaller than in 1979.

Passenger-miles rose for the 6th consecutive year to a record 5.7 billion—almost double the 3.0 billion passengermiles recorded in 1972, and up 9.3% from 1987.

Revenue also hit a historic high: \$1.1 billion. 1988 was the first year in which Amtrak's revenue reached or exceeded the billion-dollar mark. Revenue has nearly doubled since 1981's \$612 million.

21.5 million people rode America's passenger railroad in 1988, breaking the previous record of 21.4 million set in gasoline-scarce 1979, 30% above the 1972 level of 16.6 million, and 5.4% above 1987's 20.4 million. This increase was achieved even though over 1 million annual commuter trips switched to locally-funded commuter trains (the main cause of the 46% increase 1972-1988 in Amtrak passengers' average trip length—from about 181.5 miles to 264.1).

Amtrak's cost-recovery ratio improved for the 7th consecutive year to a record 69%—surpassing the railroad's own target by 2 points. Only 7 years ago, in 1981, the ratio (continued on page 4)

Legislative Update

On Sep. 30, one day before the new fiscal year began, Pres. Reagan signed the Fiscal 1989 Department of Transportation Appropriations Act (Public Law 100-457), which provides Amtrak with \$584 million (a \$3 mill. increase from 1988), the Northeast Corridor with \$20 million (an \$8 mill. decrease), and mass transit with \$3.15 billion (a \$60 mill. decrease).

The 100th Congress adjourned without passing legislation requiring random drug testing of railroad employees. House and Senate conferees were unable to reconcile drug-testing provisions from their respective bills (May News, p. 1).

RETURN REQUESTED

Amtrak's Next Gem: Chicago Union Station

In 2 to 3 years, Amtrak's Chicago Union Station may be almost as beautiful as Washington Union Station is today. Although the Chicago station will remain open during its impending redevelopment, some passenger inconvenience will be unavoidable. If all goes as planned, however, the ultimate product will be worth the trouble.

The plan is designed to make passenger circulation as efficient and pleasant as possible, using generally wider corridors and improved lighting. Key elements:

• The clutter of track-level stores in the station concourse will be removed;

• That concourse will be enlarged (extended 22 feet eastward towards Track 19/28) and will be dominated by a ticket office and a waiting area with 900 seats—vs. 120 in Amtrak's present passenger lounge and 400 in the head house. Like Amtrak's current lounge, the new waiting area will be enclosed for proper temperature control and include a separate area for first-class passengers. Many passengers will have a very short walk to their trains, since there will be doors in the lounge's east wall to Track 19/28—one of the station's most heavily used tracks;

• To minimize conflict between inbound and outbound passengers, most inbound passengers will leave the station directly or via the baggage claim area (to be located in the southwest corner of the concourse) without entering the lounge;

• To reduce the amount of station space taken up by the huge crowds of Metra commuter train riders, the east escalators to Adams and Jackson streets will be relocated closer to the train gates;

• Platform gates will be reconfigured with a passageway as wide as the platform, eliminating the bottleneck caused by today's gates which are 4' narrower. Also, 5'-wide windows will flank both sides of the passageway so those waiting to meet passengers can easily see whether a train has arrived. (The new design has already been installed and tested successfully at the gate to Tracks 14 & 16.)

• Each track is bordered by 2 platforms, but alternating track platforms were built for baggage-handling and lead to a level below the passenger concourse. Those baggage platforms next to tracks used by Metra (6, 8, 10, 12) are idle now but will be put back to use—for commuters. Stairways and possibly escalators will be installed so that doors on both sides of most commuter trains can be used. Commuters using the ex-baggage platforms will thus be able to ascend to street level without even entering the station!

Stairs from the station's north platforms will lead to



street level near North Western Terminal, Metra's principle terminal. Also, the city is working on a pedestrian tunnel ("pedway") that will enable passengers to walk to North Western without braving the weather at all. The city is also studying construction of a "South Loop" pedway in an old trolley tunnel to allow "weather-proof" walks from Union Station to a Lincoln Properties development at Jackson Blvd. and Wacker Drive. It may someday be possible to walk all the way to Randolph Street near Lake Michigan without going outside!

• The Canal Street escalators will become the main entrance. Red Cap and curbside check-in facilities will be outside the Canal St. entrance, and Amtrak is working with the city to establish a taxi lane next to the sidewalk, to be flanked on the other side by a new traffic island to be used by private cars receiving and discharging passengers.

• Amtrak has already reversed the traffic flow in the station tunnel to northbound, to avoid tunnel backups caused by traffic signals adjacent to the south portal, which had been the tunnel exit until recently.

• U.S. Equities, a private developer, will control the head house much as LaSalle Partners controls the Main Hall in Washington—and, also as in Washington, the development will be "upscale" shops. Much of the space on the head house main floor will be open and available as an "overflow" area for Amtrak passengers. To harmonize with the head house, the Amtrak concourse facility will have terrazo floors—not plainer quarry tile; marble walls—not aluminum-covered; and easy-to-clean aluminum-slat ceilings. Amtrak will use the same contractor as the developer. Platform lighting will also be improved.

• The developer will raze the head house's top 5 office stories and build 24 new ones. (The station's original 1920s design called for 20 stories, but only 8 were built.) The new stories will match the old building architecturally.

Moving Pains

The first major disruption will begin soon, when the east escalators are removed from service and relocated. Starting around February, the head house (West Building) will become the only passenger-waiting area, as construction begins in the concourse (East Building). That means passengers will have a long hike between waiting area and trains, probably shepherded in groups for each train by Amtrak personnel. NARP has urged Amtrak, at all major terminals and stations, to load families and handicapped and elderly passengers early so they can sit together, and has repeated the suggestion in the context of Chicago's "upheaval."

New Tracks and Signals

While the station is upgraded, the entire track and signal system will be replaced. Train control will be consolidated in the station, with costs reduced by the elimination of signal towers at Lake, Harrison, Lumber, and 21st streets. The track project will be far less traumatic than that at Boston South Station.

The entire Boston layout was changed, but studies indicate Chicago's present track layout is optimum; tracks will simply be renewed in place—all with 132-pound rail. The only changes: 3 crossovers will be added, and tracks will be moved 2 inches closer to the baggage platforms.

The 2-inch track move will benefit Metra commuters using those platforms, as well as Amtrak's "Southwest Chief" passengers. Currently, that train is parked several car-lengths from the bumper post because its locomotives carry Automatic Train Stop detectors (for 90 mph running (continued on page 4)

Senate Votes on Amtrak, Transit Items

In October, Congress passed the Technical Corrections Act, to make various corrections to the landmark Tax Reform Act of 1986. During consideration of the bill, two amendments affecting Amtrak and mass transit were introduced in the Senate. The Senate strongly reaffirmed its commitment to Amtrak by rejecting an amendment to eliminate its funding. But it refused, by a narrow margin, to consider a tax code change to encourage the use of mass transit. The roll call votes are below.

Column A indicates the Oct. 6 vote on an amendment by Sen. Phil Gramm (R-TX) to transfer all of Amtrak's 1989 funds (plus funds from 4 other programs) to drug enforcement programs. **The Gramm amendment was defeated 72-22.**

Column B indicates the Oct. 7 vote on a motion by Sen. Donald Riegle (D-MI) to table (put aside) a pro-transit amendment by Sen. Alfonse D'Amato (R-NY). D'Amato's amendment sought to encourage use of transit by increasing the federal tax-free, employer-provided benefit to transit users, from \$15 to \$38/month. (Federal tax law does not limit the employer-provided auto parking benefit—whatever the amount, it's tax-free!) D'Amato would have paid for his transit benefit by doubling the ''gas-guzzler tax''—a tax levied on fuel-inefficient autos. **The Riegle motion was passed 43-41**, **thus tabling D'Amato's transit amendment.**

The Technical Corrections Act was signed by Pres. Reagan on Nov. 10.

- indicates a pro-Amtrak (Column A) or pro-transit (Column B) vote.
- A blank indicates an anti-Amtrak or anti-transit vote.

indicates "not voting."

ALABAMA	٨	в	HAWATI		1	RASSACHOSETTS			NEW MEXICO	-		SOUTH DAKOTA		
Heflin (D)			Incuye (D)			Kennedy (D)			(Bingaman (D)			Daschle (D)		-
Shelby (D)			Matsunaga (D)			Kerry (D)		•	Domenici {R}		٠	Pressler (R)	•	•
ALASKA			IDAHO			HICHIGAN			NEW YORK			TENNESSEE	-	
Murkowski (R)	1.		McClure (R)	1		Levin (D)			D'Amato (R)			Gore (D)		
Stevens (R)		•	Symma (R)			Riegle (D)			Moynihan (D)		•	Sasser (D)		1
ARISONA			ILLINOIS			MINNESOTA			NORTH CAROLINA			TEXAS		
DeConcini (D)			Dixon (D)		10.0	Boschwitz (R)		1.01	Helms (R)		1	Bentsen (D)		
McCain (R)		٠	Simon (D)			Durenberger (R)	٠	•	Sanford (D)	•		Gramm (R)	1	-
ARKANSAS			INDIANA			HISSISSIPPI			NORTH DAROTA			UTAH		
Bumpers (D)			Lugar (R)		Tool 1	(Cochran (R)		11	(Burdick (D)			Garn (R)	61.5	:
Pryor (D)			Quayle (R)	1		Stennis (D)	٠		Conrad (D)	٠		Hatch (R)		•
CALIFORNIA			IOWA			MISSOURI			OHIO			VERMONT		
Cranston (D)			Grassley (R)	1.		Bond (R)	-	11	Glenn (D)	•		Leahy (D)		
Wilson (R)	1		Harkin (D)		•	Danforth (R)	•	•	Metzenbaum (D)	•	•	Stafford (R)	٠	1
COLORADO			KANSAS			NONTANA			OKLAHONA			VIRGINIA		
Arnstrong (R)		•	Dole (R)			Baucus (D)			Boren (D)			Trible (R)	1	:
Wirth (D)		•	Kassebaum (R)			Melcher (D)			Nickles (R)	10.02	14	Warner (R)		•
CONNECTIONT			RENTUCKY			NEBRASKA			OREGON			WASHINGTON	-	-
Dodd (D)			Ford (D)	1.	L	Exon (D)		1	Hatfield (R)			Adams (D)	+	
Weicker (R)			McConnell (R)	1		Rarnes (R)	1	1	Packwood (R)	•		Evans (R)	•	1
DELAWARE			LOUISIANA			NEVADA			PENNEYLVANIA			WEST VIRGINIA		
Biden (D)		4	Breaux (D)		1	[Hecht (R)	1		Heing (R)		•	Byrd (D)	•	
Roth (R)			Johnston (D)			Reid (D)	٠	•	Specter (R)	٠	•	Rockefeller (D)	•	
FLORIDA			MAINE			NEW HANDSHIRE			REODE ISLAND		_	WISCONSIN		
Chiles (D)			Cohen (R)			(Humphrey (R)		12.50	Chafee (R)			Kasten (R)		
Graham (D)	1220		Mitchell (D)	٠		Rudman (R)	-		Pell (D)		•	Proxnire (D)	1	
GEORGIA			MARYLAND			NEW JERSEY			SOUTH CAROLINA			WYOMING		
Fowler (D)	1.0	•	Mikulski (D)			(Bradley (D)			Hollings (D)			Simpson (R)		
			Barbanes (D)	-	-	Lautenberg (D)		And and a second	Thurmond (R)	and the local division of the local division		Wallop (R)		

-Barry Williams/NARP Graphics

TEXAS (continued from page 1)

ble for many years, until a rehabilitation project was recently completed.

The Dallas-Houston section is projected to reduce the "Texas Eagle's" annual operating subsidy by about \$16,000. That Amtrak is investing \$529,000 in capital improvements to make this service possible is an encouraging sign of the railroad's willingness to forego short-term financial payoff to permit strategic service expansion. Amtrak's long-term survival may well depend on the system becoming more relevant to Texas and the Southwest, where population and population growth are both huge and current Amtrak service is so limited.

SP's "Sunbeam" once made the Dallas-Houston run in 4:25—265 miles in 265 minutes, with 1 stop and 1 flagstop! Amtrak agreed to a slow 6:50 schedule (stops: Corsicana, College Sta.-Bryan) to avoid "arguing about it forever" with SP (Amtrak Pres. Claytor's words). Now, however, Amtrak and SP hope to negotiate an incentive contract with a faster schedule. Also underway or planned are some Amtrak-funded signal improvements to permit higher speeds at several locations, including Ennis and Hearne. The first schedule speed-up will hopefully occur in May.

Enthusiastic crowds greeted a Nov. 14 inaugural train, which received tremendous media coverage throughout Texas. (Also see *Travelers' Advisory*.)

TRAIN CREW TAKEOVERS—PHASE VII

On Nov. 9, Amtrak assumed direct employment of train and engine personnel operating its trains on Southern Pacific: Los Angeles-Houston; on Atchison Topeka & Santa Fe: Ft. Worth-Temple (TX); and on Union Pacific: St. Louis-Ft. Worth, Temple-San Antonio. Employees operating Amtrak's new Dallas-Houston train on SP are also Amtrak's. Only one segment of Amtrak's 24,000-mile system is still operated by contract railroad employees: Washington-Indianapolis ("Cardinal") on CSX.

RECORD YEAR (continued from page 1)

stood at 48% (i.e., only 48% of operating costs were recouped by revenues). At 69%, Amtrak is more financially self-sufficient than most of the world's passenger rail systems.

The only index which worsened in 1988 was train operating reliability. 70% of Amtrak's trains operated on time in 1988—a 4-point drop from the previous year and the worst performance in 8 years.

The Nov. 13 Washington Post reported, "The key to Amtrak's future may be selling Congress on the need to maintain funding to help it replenish operating equipment. The rail company bought a substantial amount of new equipment in the early 1980s, but now the system is stretched about as far as it can go.... In 1981, when Amtrak was adding equipment, its funding was \$896 million. In 1987, the allocation was \$602 million [in 1988, \$581 mill.].

"'It doesn't cost as much to run the railroad, but they haven't been buying as much equipment as they need to replenish the fleet,' said Gregory R. Dahlberg, staff assistant to the House Appropriations transportation subcommittee. 'It's not an immediate crisis, but it's something that's going to continue to grow and grow. In the early 1990s, if nothing is done by then, there is going to be a serious deterioration of service.'"

Some good news: Amtrak's board approved exercise of the option to place a 2nd 50-car order with Bombardier (May News); Amtrak and Bombardier reached agreement Nov. 30.

NARP REGIONAL MEETINGS

Region 1 (CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, VT): Sat., Feb. 4, Hartford Amtrak station, after 10:10 AM arrival of Amtrak's "Bay State." Details: James Ullman, PO Box 891, Meriden, CT 04650; 203/237-8888.

Region 7 (IL, MN, ND, WI): Sat., Jan. 21, 9 AM-4 PM, Chicago's Midland Hotel, 172 W. Adams (at LaSalle). Includes panel discussion on Midwest corridors and talks by Amtrak VP—Passenger Marketing Timothy P. Gardner and NARP Exec. Dir. Ross Capon. Registration, other info: Pierre Loomis, 75 White Oak Circle, St. Charles, IL 60174, home phone 312/377-5376.

Region 12 (CA, HI, NV): jointly with Train Riders' Assn. of Cal., Sat., Jan. 28, 11:45 AM-3:30 PM, Santa Ana Amtrak station. Featured speaker: James R. Mills, chairman, San Diego Metropolitan Transit Development Board; former state senator and Amtrak Board member; "father" of California's successful rail program. Luncheon \$15. All reservations due Jan. 23 at: NARP Region 12, PO Box 2858, Riverside, CA 92516. More info: Carl Schiermeyer, 714/964-0200.

ANOTHER BOOK DISCOUNT

NARP members can buy the new, revised edition of The Carefree Getaway Guide For New Yorkers, written by NARP Reg. 2 Dir. Ted Scull, at a 10% discount. The book describes day and weekend trips available by public transportation (train, boat, bus, transit) in NY, NJ, PA, CT, RI. Regularly \$9.95, the book is available to NARP members for \$8.95, plus \$3.00 for shipping/handling.

Make \$11.95 check payable to Harvard Common Press, 535 Albany St., Boston, MA 02118 (Tel.: 617-423-5803).

TRAVELERS' ADVISORY

Amtrak renamed its Chicago-Dallas-San Antonio "Eagle" the "Texas Eagle" and added Dallas-Houston section Nov. 15. New section—Trains 521, 522—offers through Chicago-Houston coach, snack-coach, and sleeper. Checked baggage is handled at Dallas, Houston. "Texas Eagle" operates 3 days per week.

Also Nov. 15, Amtrak extended its New York-Savannah "Palmetto" 146 miles south to Jacksonville, with no change in New York-Savannah times.

Send us S.A.S.E. for new "Texas Eagle," "Palmetto" timetables. (In Amtrak's Jan. 15 timetable, "Texas Eagle" won't change; "Palmetto" will run 1 hour earlier southbound, 1 hour later northbound, for better Jacksonville times.)

"Montrealer" may resume as soon as late Jan.

TRACK PROBLEMS UPDATE (previous story, May News): Amtrak's Chicago-St. Louis trains likely will remain on present route, thanks to \$14 mill. in aid from State of Illinois to bankrupt Chicago Missouri & Western Ry. Better operating practices plus track repair program (augmented by \$6 mill. in federal funds) means "Empire Builder" will remain on Soo Line Chicago-St. Paul. An early reroute of "Silver Star" to serve Lynchburg, Charlotte, instead of Richmond, Raleigh, seems unlikely due to funding snag for Columbia track connection. "Cardinal" is likely to be rerouted between Waynesboro and Clifton Forge, running via Buchanan instead of Staunton, but other improvements reportedly will preserve train's overall running time. A May reroute is possible, with a return to Cincinnati Union Terminal later in 1989.

CHICAGO STA. (continued from page 2)

on Santa Fe tracks) that don't clear the platforms. The detectors will clear once the tracks are moved.

Amtrak is paying for roughly 70% of station improvement costs; Metra for roughly 70% of track project costs. This reflects the fact that Metra has the vast majority of train movements, but Amtrak passengers spend more time in the station. Amtrak has borrowed the money for the renovation and will use retail revenues to pay it back over 10 to 12 years.

Chicago Union Station is in the central business district. The redesigned station should be an excellent anchor for existing Amtrak and Metra trains—as well as for future high-speed trains, if and when public officials realize how such trains would help solve Chicago's well-publicized airport woes.

	and
Internal Inte	
1.15. Threaded and the second seco	t
3.3.5. Exercision Sector Se	11
The state of t	N. S. Sain M.
The net when the state of t	and the local division of the local division
A constraint of a constra	
30.1. Caliboration (inter (int)) 30.2. Caliboration (inter (int)) 30.2. Caliboration (inter (int)) 30.2. Caliboration (int)	Apress April and formery later the ast don't the a set
(1) The state of the state o	
1. So the product of the second se	
(1) Constrained and the second of the sec	
Marking Stream Stream State Marking Marking Marking Marking Marking Marking A Data Pageway Marking Marking Marking Marking Marking Marene Marking <td>الم الم المحمد المحمد المحمد على المحمد ا معادل المحمد ا</td>	الم الم المحمد المحمد المحمد على المحمد ا معادل المحمد ا
1. Functional and the second of the secon	111
Other Antime Antime 10.10 1.000 1	111
The Sector Law and region provide the state of the sector law and the se	card has on
Image: Second	1 And any sector of the count family family for the CL (7 m/l).
Mark a Constant Mark a Constant Mark and Constant Mark and Constant Mark and Constant Const	The Owned Dense of American States and America
A TALE AND	
Million and another production another production and another production and another production and another production another	in the second se
Communication (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	-0-
Concession III, (FT) Concession III, (FT) Concession IIII 1, (CO) Concession III 1, (CO)	10.475
the P Care Man	
	一、二月
	11,903
P. Combas Net Observational T. Office set, pill over, measured, applied after printles	
4 mention management	101
A 1994 Design of the particular sector of the sector of th	interest states
Transferrer and an international statements and and a second statements and	